

# Farrell–Jones spheres and inertia groups of complex projective spaces

Kasilingam Ramesh

Communicated by Andrew Ranicki

**Abstract.** We introduce and study a new class of homotopy spheres called Farrell–Jones spheres. Using Farrell–Jones sphere we construct examples of closed negatively curved manifolds  $M^{2n}$ , where  $n = 7$  or  $8$ , which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to complex hyperbolic manifolds, thereby giving a partial answer to a question raised by C. S. Aravinda and F. T. Farrell. We show that every exotic sphere not bounding a spin manifold (Hitchin sphere) is a Farrell–Jones sphere. We also discuss the relationship between inertia groups of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  and Farrell–Jones spheres.

**Keywords.** Locally symmetric space, exotic smooth structure, complex hyperbolic, inertia groups.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 57R60, 57C24, 57R55, 53C56.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Theta_m$  be the group of homotopy spheres defined by M. Kervaire and J. Milnor in [15].

**Definition 1.1.** We call  $\Sigma^{2n} \in \Theta_{2n}$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) a Farrell–Jones sphere if  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is not concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The following theorem gives an equivalent definition of Farrell–Jones spheres, which we prove in Section 3:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Sigma^{2n}$  be an exotic sphere in  $\Theta_{2n}$  ( $n \geq 4$ ). Then  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere if and only if  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is not diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .*

By [10, Lemma 3.17], there exists a Farrell–Jones sphere  $\Sigma^m \in \Theta_m$  for all  $m = 8n + 2$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and for  $m = 8$ . Also we prove the following theorem in Section 3:

**Theorem 1.3.** *The non-zero element of  $\Theta_{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  ( $n = 7$  or  $8$ ) is a Farrell–Jones sphere.*

The study of Farrell–Jones spheres is motivated by the following result, which is a slight modification of [10, Theorem 3.20]:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $M^{2n}$  be any closed complex hyperbolic manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Let  $\Sigma^{2n} \in \Theta_{2n}$  be a Farrell–Jones sphere. Given a positive real number  $\epsilon$ , there exists a finite sheeted cover  $\mathcal{N}^{2n}$  of  $M^{2n}$  such that the following is true for any finite sheeted cover  $N^{2n}$  of  $\mathcal{N}^{2n}$ .*

- (i) *The smooth manifold  $N^{2n}$  is not diffeomorphic to  $N^{2n} \# \Sigma^{2n}$ .*
- (ii) *The connected sum  $N^{2n} \# \Sigma^{2n}$  supports a negatively curved Riemannian metric whose sectional curvatures all lie in the closed interval  $[-4 - \epsilon, -1 + \epsilon]$ .*

The proof of the above Theorem 1.4 follows from [10, Corollary 3.14 and Proposition 3.19]. By using Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we also construct in Section 2 examples of closed negatively curved manifolds  $M^{2n}$ , where  $n = 7$  or 8, which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to complex hyperbolic manifolds, thereby giving a partial answer to a question raised by C. S. Aravinda and F. T. Farrell [5].

Another source for Farrell–Jones spheres is the class of the so-called Hitchin spheres. In [12], Hitchin showed that if  $\Sigma$  is a homotopy sphere with a metric of positive scalar curvature, then  $\alpha(\Sigma) = 0$ , where  $\alpha : \Omega_*^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{KO}_*$  is the ring homomorphism which associates to a spin bordism class the KO-valued index of the Dirac operator of a representative spin manifold. The following definition can be found in [19, Remark 3.4]:

**Definition 1.5.** An exotic sphere  $\Sigma^m \in \Theta_m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) is called a Hitchin sphere if  $\alpha(\Sigma^m) \neq 0$ .

We prove the following theorem in Section 3:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Every Hitchin  $(8n + 2)$ -sphere ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a Farrell–Jones sphere.*

Recall that the collection of homotopy spheres which admit an orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $M \rightarrow M \# \Sigma$  form the inertia group of  $M$ , denoted by  $I(M)$ . There is a canonical topological identification  $\iota : M \rightarrow M \# \Sigma$  which is the identity outside of the attaching region; the subset of the inertia group consisting of homotopy spheres that admit a diffeomorphism homotopic to  $\iota$  is called the homotopy inertia group  $I_h(M)$ . Similarly, the concordance inertia group of  $M^m$ ,  $I_c(M^m) \subseteq \Theta_m$ , consists of those homotopy spheres  $\Sigma^m$  such that  $M$  and  $M \# \Sigma^m$  are concordant. By Theorem 1.2, we have that  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere iff  $\Sigma^{2n} \notin I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$  iff  $\Sigma^{2n} \notin I_c(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$  iff  $\Sigma^{2n} \notin I_h(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ . In Section 4, we discuss the group  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1})$ .

## 2 Exotic smooth structures on complex hyperbolic manifolds

The negatively curved Riemannian symmetric spaces are of four types:  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{H}\mathbf{H}^n$  and  $\mathbb{O}\mathbf{H}^2$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{O}$  denote the real, complex, quaternion and Cayley numbers, i.e., the four division algebras  $\mathbb{K}$  over the real numbers whose dimensions over  $\mathbb{R}$  are  $d = 1, 2, 4$  and  $8$  respectively. A Riemannian manifold  $M^{dn}$  is called a real, complex, quaternionic or Cayley hyperbolic manifold provided its universal cover is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{H}\mathbf{H}^n$  and  $\mathbb{O}\mathbf{H}^2$ , respectively. (Note that we need to consider only the cases  $n \geq 2$  and when  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$ ,  $n = 2$ .)

In [5, p. 2], C. S. Aravinda and F. T. Farrell ask the following:

**Question 2.1.** For each division algebra  $\mathbb{K}$  over the reals and each integer  $n \geq 2$  ( $n = 2$  when  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$ ), does there exist a closed negatively curved Riemannian manifold  $M^{dn}$  (where  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}$ ) which is homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to a  $\mathbb{K}$ -hyperbolic manifold.

**Remark 2.2.** For  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $n = 2, 3$ , this is impossible since homeomorphism implies diffeomorphism in these dimensions [17]. Also when  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , it was shown in [11] that the answer is yes provided  $n \geq 6$ . When  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , it was shown in [10] that the answer is yes for  $n = 4m + 1$  for any integer  $m \geq 1$  and for  $n = 4$ . When  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$ , the answer is yes for  $n = 2, 4$  and  $5$ , see [5]. The answer to this question is yes for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$  by [4] since only one dimension needs to be considered in this case. In this section, we consider the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and show that the answer is yes for  $n = 7, 8$ .

Since Borel [6] has constructed closed complex hyperbolic manifolds in every complex dimension  $m \geq 1$  and by Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $n$  be either 7 or 8. Given any positive number  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a pair of closed negatively curved Riemannian manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  having the following properties:*

- (i)  $M$  is a complex  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic manifold.
- (ii) The sectional curvatures of  $N$  are all in the interval  $[-4 - \epsilon, -1 + \epsilon]$ .
- (iii) The manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic.

## 3 Farrell–Jones spheres and Hitchin sphere

In this section, we give proofs of the Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.6.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a topological manifold. Let  $(N, f)$  be a pair consisting of a smooth manifold  $N$  together with a homeomorphism  $f : N \rightarrow M$ . Two such pairs  $(N_1, f_1)$  and  $(N_2, f_2)$  are concordant provided there exists a diffeomorphism  $g : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  such that the composition  $f_2 \circ g$  is topologically concordant to  $f_1$ , i.e., there exists a homeomorphism  $F : N_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M \times [0, 1]$  such that  $F|_{N_1 \times 0} = f_1$  and  $F|_{N_1 \times 1} = f_2 \circ g$ . The set of all such concordance classes is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ .

We recall some terminology from [15]:

**Definition 3.2.** (a) A homotopy  $m$ -sphere  $\Sigma^m$  is an oriented smooth closed manifold homotopy equivalent to  $S^m$ .

(b) A homotopy  $m$ -sphere  $\Sigma^m$  is said to be exotic if it is not diffeomorphic to  $S^m$ .

(c) Two homotopy  $m$ -spheres  $\Sigma_1^m$  and  $\Sigma_2^m$  are said to be equivalent if there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $f : \Sigma_1^m \rightarrow \Sigma_2^m$ .

The set of equivalence classes of homotopy  $m$ -spheres is denoted by  $\Theta_m$ . The equivalence class of  $\Sigma^m$  is denoted by  $[\Sigma^m]$ . When  $m \geq 5$ ,  $\Theta_m$  forms an abelian group with group operation given by the connected sum  $\#$  and the zero element represented by the equivalence class of the round sphere  $S^m$ . M. Kervaire and J. Milnor [15] showed that each  $\Theta_m$  is a finite group; in particular,  $\Theta_8$ ,  $\Theta_{14}$  and  $\Theta_{16}$  are cyclic groups of order 2,  $\Theta_{10}$  and  $\Theta_{20}$  are cyclic groups of order 6 and 24 respectively and  $\Theta_{18}$  is a group of order 16.

Start by noting that there is a homeomorphism  $h : M^n \# S^n \rightarrow M^n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) which is the inclusion map outside of the homotopy sphere  $S^n$  and well defined up to topological concordance. We will denote the class of  $(M^n \# S^n, h)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  by  $[M^n \# S^n]$ . (Note that  $[M^n \# S^n]$  is the class of  $(M^n, \text{id}_{M^n})$ .) Let  $f_M : M^n \rightarrow S^n$  be a degree one map. Note that  $f_M$  is well defined up to homotopy. Composition with  $f_M$  defines a homomorphism

$$f_M^* : [S^n, \text{Top}/O] \rightarrow [M^n, \text{Top}/O],$$

and in terms of the identifications

$$\Theta_n = [S^n, \text{Top}/O] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}(M^n) = [M^n, \text{Top}/O]$$

given by [16, p. 25 and p. 194],  $f_M^*$  becomes  $[\Sigma^m] \mapsto [M^m \# \Sigma^m]$ .

**Definition 3.3.** If  $M$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , we will call a generator of  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  a  $c$ -orientation of  $M$ .

Hereafter  $g$  denotes the conjugation map

$$(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (\bar{z}_0, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_4, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$$

(the complex conjugation) induces the diffeomorphism  $g : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $g^*(c_1) = -c_1$  where  $c_1$  is the  $c$ -orientation of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Assume that  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere. Suppose  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  are diffeomorphic. If  $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is a diffeomorphism, then  $f$  induces an isomorphism on cohomology

$$f^* : H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that  $f^*(c_1) = \pm c_2$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  are  $c$ -orientations of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  respectively. If  $f^*(c_1) = c_2$ , then  $f$  is a  $c$ -orientation preserving diffeomorphism. If  $f^*(c_1) = -c_2$ , then  $g \circ f$  is a  $c$ -orientation preserving diffeomorphism, where  $g : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is the conjugation map. In both cases, we have that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is  $c$ -orientation diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . By [18, Corollary 3, p. 97],  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . This is a contradiction since  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere. Thus  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  are not diffeomorphic. Conversely, suppose  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  are not diffeomorphic. Then, by [18, Corollary 3, p. 97],  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is not concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . This shows that  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\Sigma^{2n}$  be the generator of  $\Theta_{2n}$  (with  $n = 7$  or  $8$ ). Suppose  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is not a Farrell–Jones sphere. Then  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . By [18, Corollary 3, p. 97],  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is  $c$ -orientation diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  be a  $c$ -orientation diffeomorphism such that  $f^*(c_1) = c_2$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are  $c$ -orientations of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  respectively. Using properties of the cup product, we have  $f^*(c_1^n) = c_2^n$ . If  $c_1 = c_2$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $f$  is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. If  $c_1 \neq c_2$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $g \circ f$  is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism with the property that  $(g \circ f)^*(c_1) = f^*(g^*(c_1)) = -c_2 = c_1$ , where  $g : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  is the conjugation map. In both cases, we have that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  is an orientation preserving diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . This is a contradiction because, by [13, Theorem 1],  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \# \Sigma^{2n}$  cannot be orientation preserving diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . Thus  $\Sigma^{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

Recall that the  $\alpha$ -invariant is the ring homomorphism  $\alpha : \Omega_*^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{KO}_*$  which associates to a spin bordism class the  $\text{KO}$ -valued index of the Dirac operator of a representative spin manifold. We also write  $\alpha$  for the corresponding invariant on a framed bordism:

$$\alpha : \Omega_*^f \rightarrow \Omega_*^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{KO}_*$$

Under the Pontryagin–Thom isomorphism  $\Omega_*^f \cong \pi_*^S$ , the  $\alpha$ -invariant has the following interpretation as Adams  $d$ -invariant  $d_{\mathbb{R}} : \pi_r^S \rightarrow KO_*$ , which was used already in [12, p. 44] and [9, Lemma 2.12].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the Pontryagin–Thom isomorphism  $\Omega_*^f \cong \pi_*^S$ , the  $\alpha$ -invariant  $\alpha : \Omega_{8n+2}^f \rightarrow KO_{8n+2}$  may be identified with  $d_{\mathbb{R}} : \pi_{8n+2}^S \rightarrow KO_{8n+2}$ .*

We start by recalling some facts from smoothing theory [7], which were used already in [10, Lemma 3.17]. There are  $H$ -spaces  $SF$ ,  $F/O$  and  $Top/O$  and  $H$ -space maps  $\phi : SF \rightarrow F/O$ ,  $\psi : Top/O \rightarrow F/O$  such that

$$\psi_* : \Theta_{8n+2} = \pi_{8n+2}(Top/O) \rightarrow \pi_{8n+2}(F/O) \tag{3.1}$$

is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 1$ . The homotopy groups of  $SF$  are the stable homotopy groups of spheres  $\pi_m^S$ , i.e.,  $\pi_m(SF) = \pi_m^S$  for  $m \geq 1$ . For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\phi_* : \pi_{8n+2}^S \rightarrow \pi_{8n+2}(F/O) \tag{3.2}$$

is an isomorphism. Since every homotopy sphere has a unique spin-structure, we obtain the  $\alpha$ -invariant on  $\pi_{8n+2}^S \cong \pi_{8n+2}(F/O) \cong \Theta_{8n+2}$ :

$$\alpha : \pi_{8n+2}^S \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \pi_{8n+2}(F/O) \xrightarrow{\psi_*^{-1}} \Theta_{8n+2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{8n+2}^{spin} \longrightarrow KO_{8n+2},$$

where  $\psi_*$  and  $\phi_*$  are the isomorphisms as in equation (3.1) and (3.2) respectively.

Let  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$  denote the kernel of the Adams  $d$ -invariant  $d_{\mathbb{R}} : \pi_{8n+2}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$  consists of framed manifolds which bound spin manifolds.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Consider the following commutative of diagram:

$$\begin{CD} [\mathbb{S}^{2m}, Top/O] = \Theta_{2m} @>f_{\mathbb{C}P^m}^*>> [\mathbb{C}P^m, Top/O] = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}P^m) \\ @VV\psi_*V @VV\psi_*V \\ [\mathbb{S}^{2m}, F/O] @>f_{\mathbb{C}P^m}^*>> [\mathbb{C}P^m, F/O] \\ @V\phi_*V @VV\phi_*V \\ [\mathbb{S}^{2m}, SF] = \pi_{2m}^S @>f_{\mathbb{C}P^m}^*>> [\mathbb{C}P^m, SF]. \end{CD} \tag{3.3}$$

In this diagram,  $\phi_*$  and  $\psi_*$  are induced by the  $H$ -space maps  $\phi : SF \rightarrow F/O$  and  $\psi : Top/O \rightarrow F/O$  respectively and the homomorphism

$$\phi_* : [\mathbb{C}P^m, SF] \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}P^m, F/O]$$

is monic for all  $m \geq 1$  by a result of Brumfiel [8, p. 77]. Recall that the concordance class  $[\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m \# \Sigma] \in [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, \text{Top}/O]$  of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m \# \Sigma$  is  $f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m}^*(\Sigma)$  when  $m > 2$  and that  $[\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m] = [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m \# \mathbb{S}^{2m}]$  is the zero element of this group.

Let  $\Sigma^{8n+2} \in \Theta_{8n+2}$  be a Hitchin  $(8n + 2)$ -sphere (with  $n \geq 1$ ) and further let  $\eta \in \pi_{8n+2}^s = [\mathbb{S}^{8n+2}, SF]$  be such that

$$\psi_*^{-1}(\phi_*(\eta)) = \Sigma^{8n+2}.$$

Recall that  $[X, SF]$  can be identified with the 0<sup>th</sup> stable cohomotopy group  $\pi^0(X)$ . Let  $h : \mathbb{S}^{q+8n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$  represent  $\eta$ . Since  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  is a Hitchin sphere and by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$0 \neq \alpha(\Sigma^{8n+2}) = d_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = h^* \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{\text{KO}}^q(\mathbb{S}^q), \widetilde{\text{KO}}^q(\mathbb{S}^{q+8n+2})).$$

Also Adams and Walker [2] showed that  $\Sigma^q f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}} : \Sigma^q \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{q+8n+2}$  induces a monomorphism on  $\widetilde{\text{KO}}^q(\cdot)$ . Consequently the composite map

$$h \circ \Sigma^q f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}} : \Sigma^q \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$$

induces a non-zero homomorphism on  $\widetilde{\text{KO}}^q(\cdot)$ . This shows that

$$f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^*(\eta) = [h \circ \Sigma^q f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}] \neq 0,$$

where

$$f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^* : [\mathbb{S}^{8n+2}, SF] \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}, SF].$$

Since the homomorphism  $\phi_* : [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, SF] \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m, F/O]$  is monic, by the commutative diagram (3.3) where  $m = 4n + 1$ , we have

$$\psi_*(f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^*(\Sigma^{8n+2})) = \phi_*(f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^*(\eta)) \neq 0.$$

This implies that

$$f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^*(\Sigma^{8n+2}) \neq 0$$

and hence  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \# \Sigma^{8n+2}$  is not concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}$ . This shows that  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** (1) Let us note that the homotopy sphere  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) given by [10, Lemma 3.17] is the image of the Adams element  $\mu_{8n+2}$  of order 2 under the composed isomorphism  $\psi_*^{-1} \circ \phi_*$ , where  $\psi_*$  and  $\phi_*$  are the isomorphisms as in equations (3.1) and (3.2) respectively (see [10, equation (3.17.4)]). By [1, Theorem 1.2] and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$d_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu_{8n+2}) = \alpha(\Sigma^{8n+2}) = 1.$$

This shows that  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  is a Hitchin sphere of order 2 in  $\Theta_{8n+2}$ . By Theorem 1.6,  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere.

(2) Since  $\Theta_{18} \cong \text{Ker}(\alpha) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where the  $\alpha$ -invariant  $\alpha : \Theta_{18} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Z}_8$  (see [9, p. 12]), this shows that there are exotic spheres of order  $\neq 2$  in  $\Theta_{18}$  which are not in the kernel of  $\alpha$ . This implies that there are Hitchin spheres of order  $\neq 2$  in  $\Theta_{18}$  which are all Farrell–Jones sphere by Theorem 1.6.

(3) In [3], Anderson, Brown and Peterson proved that one has  $\alpha(\Sigma^m) \neq 0$  iff  $m = 8k + 1$  or  $8k + 2$  iff  $\Sigma^m$  is an exotic sphere not bounding a spin manifold, where  $\alpha : \Theta_m \rightarrow \Omega_m^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{KO}_m$  is the  $\alpha$ -invariant. This implies that  $\Sigma^m$  is a Hitchin sphere in  $\Theta_m$  iff  $\Sigma^m$  is an exotic sphere not bounding a spin manifold. By Theorem 1.6, every exotic sphere not bounding a spin manifold  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  in  $\Theta_{8n+2}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere.

(4) By [1, Theorem 7.2],  $\Theta_{10} \cong \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{Z}_3$ . If  $\Sigma^{10}$  is a generator of  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$ , then  $d_{\mathbb{R}}(\Sigma^{10}) = \alpha(\Sigma^{10}) = 0$ . This shows that  $\Sigma^{10}$  is not a Hitchin sphere. But, by [10, Lemma 3.17],  $\Sigma^{10}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere.

## 4 The inertia groups of complex projective spaces

In this section, we discuss the relationship between inertia groups of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  and Farrell–Jones spheres.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $M^m$  be a closed smooth, oriented  $m$ -dimensional manifold. Let  $\Sigma \in \Theta_m$  and  $g : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$  be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism corresponding to  $\Sigma$ . Writing  $M \# \Sigma$  as  $(M^m \setminus \text{int}(\mathbb{D}^m)) \cup_g \mathbb{D}^m$ , let  $\iota : M \rightarrow M \# \Sigma$  denote the PL homeomorphism defined by  $\iota|_{M \setminus \text{int}(\mathbb{D}^m)} = \text{id}$  and  $\iota|_{\mathbb{D}^m} = Cg$ , where  $Cg : \mathbb{D}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^m$  is the cone extension of  $g$ .

The inertia group  $I(M) \subset \Theta_m$  is defined as the set of  $\Sigma \in \Theta_m$  for which there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow M \# \Sigma$ .

Define the homotopy inertia group  $I_h(M)$  to be the set of all  $\Sigma \in I(M)$  such that there exists a diffeomorphism  $M \rightarrow M \# \Sigma$  which is homotopic to  $\iota$ .

Define the concordance inertia group  $I_c(M)$  to be the set of all  $\Sigma \in I_h(M)$  such that  $M \# \Sigma$  is concordant to  $M$ . Clearly,  $I_c(M) \subseteq I_h(M) \subseteq I(M)$ .

Note that for  $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , Theorem 1.2 can be restated as:

**Theorem 4.2.** A sphere  $\Sigma^{2n} \in \Theta_{2n}$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere iff  $\Sigma^{2n} \notin I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Since  $I_c(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \subseteq I_h(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \subseteq I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$  and by Theorem 4.2, we have that

$$I_c(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) = I_h(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) = I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.6 leads one to the following question.



**Question 4.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{8n+2}$  be any degree one map ( $n \geq 1$ ). Does there exist an element  $\eta \in \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \pi_{8n+2}^{\mathbb{S}} = \Theta_{8n+2}$  such that the following is true?

( $\star$ ) If any map  $h : \mathbb{S}^{q+8n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$  represents  $\eta$ , then

$$h \circ \Sigma^q f : \Sigma^q \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$$

is not null homotopic.

**Remark 4.5.** (1) By [14, Lemma 9.1],  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$ . If the answer to Question 4.4 is yes, then we have  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) \neq \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$ , i.e., there exists an exotic sphere  $\Sigma$  bounding spin manifold in  $\Theta_{8n+2}$  such that  $\Sigma \notin I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1})$ . This can be seen as follows: Let  $\eta \in \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$  and let  $h : \mathbb{S}^{q+8n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$  represent  $\eta$  such that  $h \circ \Sigma^q f : \Sigma^q \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^q$  is not null homotopic. This implies that

$$f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^*(h) = [h \circ \Sigma^q f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}] \neq 0,$$

where  $f_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}}^* : \pi^0(\mathbb{S}^{8n+2}) \rightarrow \pi^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1})$ . A similar argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.6 using the commutative diagram (3.3) shows that there exists an exotic sphere  $\Sigma \in \Theta_{8n+2}$  such that  $\psi_*^{-1} \circ \phi_*(\eta) = \Sigma$ ,  $d_{\mathbb{R}}(\eta) = \alpha(\Sigma) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \# \Sigma$  is not concordant to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}$ , where  $\psi_*$  and  $\phi_*$  are the isomorphisms as in (3.1) and (3.2) respectively. This implies that  $\Sigma$  is a Farrell–Jones sphere such that  $\Sigma \in \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) \neq \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

(2) If all non-zero elements in  $\text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$  satisfy the condition ( $\star$ ) in Question 4.4, then, by the above remark (1),  $\Sigma \notin I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1})$  for all exotic sphere  $\Sigma \in \text{Ker}(d_{\mathbb{R}})$  and hence  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $\Theta_{8n+2}$  is a cyclic group of order 2. Then  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  be the generator of  $\Theta_{8n+2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let

$$\psi_* : \Theta_{8n+2} \rightarrow \pi_{8n+2}(F/O) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_* : \pi_{8n+2}^{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \pi_{8n+2}(F/O)$$

be the isomorphisms as in (3.1) and (3.2). By [1, Theorem 1.2], there exists an element  $\mu_{8n+2}$  of order 2 in  $\pi_{8n+2}^{\mathbb{S}}$ . This shows that

$$\phi_*^{-1} \circ \psi_*(\Sigma^{8n+2}) = \mu_{8n+2}.$$

By [1, Theorem 1.2] and Lemma 3.4,  $d_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu_{8n+2}) = \alpha(\Sigma^{8n+2}) = 1$ . This implies that  $\Sigma^{8n+2}$  is a Hitchin sphere. By Theorems 1.6 and 1.2,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1} \# \Sigma^{8n+2}$  is not diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}$ . This implies that  $I(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{4n+1}) = 0$ . □

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Professor F. T. Farrell for initiating him into the beautiful area of mathematics. The author would also like to thank his advisor, Professor A. R. Shastri, for continual encouragement and many useful comments. Finally, the author thanks the referee for his suggestions toward the improved presentation of this paper.

## Bibliography

- [1] J. F. Adams, On the groups  $J(X)$ . IV, *Topology* **5** (1966), 21–71.
- [2] J. F. Adams and G. Walker, On complex Stiefel manifolds, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **61** (1965), 81–103.
- [3] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown and F. P. Peterson, The structure of the spin cobordism ring, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **86** (1967), 271–298.
- [4] C. S. Aravinda and F. T. Farrell, Exotic negatively curved structures on Cayley hyperbolic manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **63** (2003), 41–62.
- [5] C. S. Aravinda and F. T. Farrell, Exotic structures and quaternionic hyperbolic manifolds, in: *Algebraic Groups and Arithmetic*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, Mumbai (2004), 507–524.
- [6] A. Borel, Compact Clifford–Klein forms of symmetric spaces, *Topology* **2** (1963), 111–122.
- [7] G. Brumfiel, Homotopy equivalences of almost smooth manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **46** (1971), 381–407.
- [8] G. W. Brumfiel, Homotopy equivalence of almost smooth manifolds, in: *Algebraic Topology*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 22, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1971), 73–79.
- [9] D. Crowley and T. Schick, The Gromoll filtration, KO-characteristic classes and metrics of positive scalar curvature, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), 1773–1789.
- [10] F. T. Farrell and L. E. Jones, Complex hyperbolic manifolds and exotic smooth structures, *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), 57–74.
- [11] F. T. Farrell, L. E. Jones and P. Ontaneda, Hyperbolic manifolds with negatively curved exotic triangulations in dimensions larger than five, *J. Differential Geom.* **48** (1998), 319–322.
- [12] N. Hitchin, Harmonic spinors, *Adv. Math.* **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [13] K. Kawakubo, Inertia groups of low dimensional complex projective spaces and some free differentiable actions on spheres I, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **44** (1968), 873–875.
- [14] K. Kawakubo, Smooth structures on  $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ , *Osaka J. Math.* **6** (1969), 165–196.

- 
- [15] M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, Groups of homotopy spheres: I, *Annals of Math. (2)* **77** (1963), 504–537.
- [16] R. C. Kirby and L. C. Siebenmann, *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations. With Notes by John Milnor and Michael Atiyah*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 88, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1977.
- [17] E. E. Moise, *Geometric Topology in Dimensions 2 and 3*, Grad. Texts in Math. 47, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [18] D. P. Sullivan, Triangulating and smoothing homotopy equivalences and homeomorphisms, in: *The Hauptvermutung Book. A Collection of Papers of the Topology of Manifolds*, K-Monogr. Math. 1, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1996), 69–103.
- [19] Exotic spheres on the Manifold Atlas, [http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/Exotic\\_spheres](http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/Exotic_spheres).

Received May 8, 2013; revised October 18, 2013.

#### Author information

Kasilingam Ramesh, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Mumbai, India.

E-mail: rameshk@math.iitb.ac.in